

## The Sales of HIRES' Rootbeer

- 864 Bottles sold in 1878.
- 3,024 Bottles sold in 1879.
- 5,804 Bottles sold in 1880.
- 13,680 Bottles sold in 1881.
- 18,422 Bottles sold in 1882.
- 28,512 Bottles sold in 1883.
- 45,216 Bottles sold in 1884.
- 55,728 Bottles sold in 1885.
- 83,728 Bottles sold in 1886.
- 191,808 Bottles sold in 1887.
- 394,560 Bottles sold in 1888.
- 578,948 Bottles sold in 1889.

# Why is this thus?

President Lincoln said "you may fool some of the people all of the time, or fool all the people part of the time, but you can't fool all of the people all of the time."

Read these figures over. Examine the accurate scale. Mark the wonderful recent growth, and settle the question for yourself.

Are all the people mistaken, or is HIRES' Rootbeer a really good thing?

1,298,000 Bottles sold in 1890.

1,941,319 Bottles sold in 1891

2,880,278 Bottles sold in 1892.

## why is HIRES Rootbeer so popular?

Notice the constant growth in popularity since its introduction fifteen years ago. There is no record to match this. There is no "just as good;" there is no "just the same." There are some counterfeits—there have been many. While the counterfeiters have been disputing among themselves as to how much of a mixture their mixtures would mix,

## The people have adopted HIRES

as shown by the wonderful record above, and have proved to the satisfaction of even the most careless observer that Hires' Rootbeer is the most popular as well as the most delightful and healthful drink in the world.

It brings Health and Happiness to every Home. Be sure that it is in yours.

Sold everywhere. Made only by the CHARLES E. HIRES CO., Philadelphia.

### THE NY LOOK CASE IMPORTANT

JUDGE LACOMBE HAS WRITTEN A DECISION.

IT WILL PROBABLY BE A STRONG PRECEDENT IN ALL PARTS OF THE COUNTRY.

At the request of Manwell Evans, who is associated with Joseph H. Choate as counsel for the Six Companies, Judge LaCombe has written an opinion in the case of New Look, the Chinaman, who was arrested several days ago. The Judge ordered that he be deported as soon as practicable for carrying out the Geary law, but set him at liberty in the mean time. Mr. Choate caused the arrest of the man for an important reason. He felt that a Judge in this city would not order that a Chinaman be kept under arrest pending the arranging to put the Geary law into effect. A Western Judge might, however, copies of Judge LaCombe's opinion have been sent to the Attorney-General at Washington, and will read every United States Judge before long. This decision will furnish precedent which other judges will not be likely to set aside. The text of the opinion is as follows:

The prisoner was on May 24 arrested by the United States Marshal, being admittedly a Chinese laborer, and found here without the certificates of residence required by the act of May 5, 1882, now often referred to as the Geary law. He was held in custody at the United States Marshals office at the time of the passing of the act, but does not proffer any excuse, such as is recognized by the sixth section for his failure to procure a certificate within the time limited, stating that he had no money to pay for the same. No person is brought to order that he be deported from the United States, unless he prove not only residence here before the passage of the act, but also sufficient evidence of his having been here certain time.

In my opinion the transfer of the act used the conjunction "and" instead of the disjunctive "or," evidently and intentionally the use of "or" would have been an omission of some very persuasive of this construction. If the Supreme Court has held, as is suggested, that "and" must be read "or" to make the act constitutional, such construction would give a different aspect to the case; but I am bound now, as I have done, to say, "I got this, and I must therefore take the act as I find it."

The arrest by the Marshal was within the authority conferred upon him by the act, and the Chinese laborer having been brought before the tribunal which is to pass upon his case, the question now arises, What is to be done with him? The sixth section says that it shall be the duty of the judge "to order his deportation, and if he has not been provided with a vessel, to cause him to be transported to the coast of the United States, and to cause him to be deported." After reading the examination of the provisions of the act, however, discloses no provisions for such deportation. The most that can be done, therefore, is to order his deportation, and when he is so ordered shall provide for the same by the proper authority, presumably the Commissioner, though some other act, to which my attention has not been called, may make sufficient provision for this, which I have not further examined. We will need, I think, no provision authorizing the United States Judge in such cases to order the person found without certificate to be imprisoned for an indefinite time while waiting deportation, and therefore shall charge him with power to do this, and if he does, we will, be sufficient warrant for his future removal when some proper officer appears charged with the duty and clothed with the authority so to remove him.

THE GEARY LAW AFFECTED HIS MIND.

A NEWARK CHINAMAN GOES CRAZY THROUGH FEAR OF DEPORTATION.

An insane Chinaman was led into the First Police Precinct Station in Newark yesterday. He was "Charles" Jan, the proprietor of a laundry, at No. 22 Murray-st., where he has done business for thirteen years. He has been known as above the average of his countrymen in this country in intelligence, and he has been for a number of years a pupil in the Chinese class of the Third Presbyterian Church in Newark. Jan is a close reader of the daily papers and has kept track of the discussion of the Geary law. He has some influential friends in Newark. For several weeks the Chinaman acted strangely, and his manner was noticed by some of these friends, among them Colonel John R. Mulliken, a well-known insurance man. Colonel Mulliken questioned him and found that his mind was greatly disturbed with the fear that he would be seized and returned to China by the Government. The Colonel tried to convince the Chinaman that he would not be molested, but the latter could not be made to believe that he was safe, and wanted to see the Chinese Consul in New York. Last Monday he came to this city and Colonel Mulliken met him accidentally in West-End, and promised to join him after some business had been transacted. When the Colonel arrived at the place of meeting the Chinaman did not present himself.

shortly after the Colonel had reached his house Jan called and showed two police cards which he had taken from a cab in this city. He could not explain why he had taken the cards, but showed the Police and indicated that his money

was gone. He had had \$1,200 in his possession. A sum which he had in his money bag, and it could not be found. On Friday the Chinaman quit his laundry and visited Newark. Yesterday it was found necessary to take him into custody and he was placed in a padded cell to prevent him from doing violence to himself.

### THE COURTS.

#### A RECEIVER FOR THE ARDLEY LAND COMPANY.

Judge Andrews, in the Supreme Court yesterday, appointed John Von Gahn receiver of the Ardley Land and Improvement Company, on the application of Herbert L. Bridgeman, who holds twenty shares of the stock of the company. He declares that the company is hopelessly insolvent, and that it has no assets, except some claims against the directors for a wrongful use of the assets and stock of the company. The suit is brought against the company and William E. Strong, Charles T. Barney, George E. Haven, William T. Eldridge, Frank K. Sturgis, E. Bellus Morse and J. Murray Forbes, as directors. Mr. Bridgeman says that the corporation was organized on April 24, 1892, with a capital stock of \$100,000, with \$10,000 of it preferred to draw 6 per cent interest. The company bought 600 acres of land in Westerly County and built what is now known as Ardley Village. A mortgage was taken on the property by Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever since it was formed. Although Butler was not officially connected with the company, Mr. Bridgeman declares that he believes all the directors were appointed, or at least designated by him. At the time the mortgage was made to Drexel, Morgan & Co., and that mortgage was foreclosed last fall, and a judgment entered for \$17,017.68. According to the assertions of Mr. Bridgeman, Charles B. Butler has had control of the details of the corporation ever